

A Denotational Engineering of Programming Languages

...

Part 4: Lingua-A – Expressions
(Sections 4.4 – 4.9 of the book)

Andrzej Jacek Blikle

May 22nd, 2020

Values and states

Preliminaries

$\text{val} : \text{Value} = \{(\text{dat}, \text{typ}) \mid \text{dat} : \text{CLAN-Ty.typ}\}$

$\text{val} : \text{PsValue} = \{(\Omega, \text{typ}) \mid \text{typ} : \text{Type}\}$

A pseudo-data

$\text{val} = (\text{dat}, \text{typ}) = (\text{dat}, (\text{bod}, \text{yok})) = ((\text{dat}, \text{bod}), \text{yok}) = (\text{com}, \text{yok})$

type

comp.

$\text{sta} : \text{State} = \text{Env} \times \text{Store}$

$\text{env} : \text{Env} = \text{TypeEnv} \times \text{ProEnv}$

environments

$\text{sto} : \text{Store} = \text{Valuation} \times (\text{Error} \mid \{\text{'OK'}\})$

$\text{vat} : \text{Valuation} = \text{Identifier} \Rightarrow (\text{Value} \mid \text{PsValue})$

$\text{tye} : \text{TypeEnv} = \text{Identifier} \Rightarrow (\text{Type} \mid \text{Body})$

type environments

$\text{pre} : \text{ProEnv} = \text{Identifier} \Rightarrow \text{Procedure}$

procedure environments

Procedure names

Variable identifiers

Type constant identifiers

$\text{sta} = ((\text{tye}, \text{pre}), (\text{vat}, \text{err})) \quad \text{err} : \text{Error} \mid \{\text{'OK'}\}$

this structure is not accidental

States

Auxiliary functions

$\text{error} : \text{State} \mapsto \text{Error} \mid \{\text{'OK'}\}$
 $\text{error}(\text{env}, (\text{vat}, \text{err})) = \text{err}$

error-selection operator for states

$\text{is-error} : \text{State} \mapsto \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{is-error.sta} =$
 $\text{error.sta} \neq \text{'OK'} \rightarrow \text{tt}$
true $\rightarrow \text{ff}$

error-detection predicate for states

$\text{is-error} : \text{Store} \mapsto \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{is-error}(\text{vat}, \text{err}) =$
 $\text{err} \neq \text{'OK'} \rightarrow \text{tt}$
true $\rightarrow \text{ff}$

error-detection predicate for stores

$\blacktriangleleft : \text{State} \times \text{Error} \mapsto \text{State}$
 $(\text{env}, (\text{vat}, \text{err})) \blacktriangleleft \text{err-1} =$
 $(\text{env}, (\text{vat}, \text{err-1}))$

error-insertion operator for states

An algebra of data-expression denotations

Future carriers of our algebra of denotations

ide : Identifier = ...
ded : DatExpDen = State \rightarrow ValueE

Partial functions due to
functional procedures!

Transparent denotations

ded.(env, (vat, err)) = err whenever err \neq 'OK'.

DEF A constructor of denotations is called **diligent** if it builds transparent denotations.

rzetelny

All constructors of expression denotations will be diligent.

All reachable expression denotations will be transparent.

Four groups of constructors of expression denotations

- 1) one constructor of variables,
- 2) constructors derived from non-Boolean value constructors (one for each),
- 3) Boolean constructors,
- 4) one constructor for conditional expressions.

Data-expression denotations

Constructors

A constructor of variables

ded-variable : Identifier \mapsto DatExpDen

Zero-argument constructors

Cdd.[va-create-id.ide] : \mapsto Identifier for ide : Identifier

Cdd.[va-create-bo.boo] : \mapsto DatExpDen for boo : Boolean

Cdd.[va-create-nu.num] : \mapsto DatExpDen for num : NumberS

Cdd.[va-create-wo.wor] : \mapsto DatExpDen for wor : WordS

Comparison constructors

ded-equal : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

ded-less : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

Arithmetic constructors

Cdd.[va-add-in] : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

Cdd.[va-divide-in] : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

etc. for integers and reals

Word constructors

Cdd.[va-glue] : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

Data-expression denotations

Constructors

List constructors

Cdd.[va-create-li]	: DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-push]	: DatExpDen x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-top]	: DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-pop]	: DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen

Array constructors

Cdd.[va-create-ar]	: DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-put-to-ar]	: DatExpDen x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-change-in-ar]	: DatExpDen x DatExpDen x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-get-from-ar]	: DatExpDen x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen

Record constructors

Cdd.[va-create-re]	: Identifier x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-put-to-re]	: DatExpDen x DatExpDen x Identifier	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-get-from-re]	: DatExpDen x Identifier	\mapsto DatExpDen
Cdd.[va-change-in-re]	: DatExpDen x Identifier x DatExpDen	\mapsto DatExpDen

Data-expression denotations

Constructors

Boolean constructors

ded-and : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

ded-or : DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

ded-not : DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

Conditional-expression constructor

when : DatExpDen x DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

Data-expression denotations

Data-variable constructor

dat-variable : Identifier \mapsto DatExpDen

dat-variable.ide.sta =

is-error.sta \rightarrow error.sta

let

(env, (vat, 'OK')) = sta

vat.ide = ? \rightarrow 'undeclared-variable'

let

(dat, typ) = vat.ide

dat = Ω \rightarrow 'uninitialized-variable'

true \rightarrow (dat, typ)

diligence of
dat-variable

We eliminate a possible pseudo values from further computations which means that they are never "sent" to a composite constructor as an arguments.

Data-expression denotations

Constructors derived from composite-constructors

vco : $ValIde-1$ $\times \dots \times ValIde-n$ $\mapsto ValueE$
 $Cdd[vco]$: $DatExpDenIde-1$ $\times \dots \times DatExpDenIde-n$ $\mapsto DatExpDen$
 Cdd – metaconstructor of data-expression denotations

$Cdd[cva].(arg-1, \dots, arg-n).sta =$ $n \geq 0$ $\rightarrow error.sta$ diligence of $Cdd[cva]$

is-error.sta

for $i = 1; n$

do

(not $arg-i : Identifier$) **and** $arg-i.sta = ?$ $\rightarrow ?$

let

$val-i =$

$arg-i : Identifier$ $\rightarrow arg-i$

true $\rightarrow arg-i.sta$

$val-i : Error$ $\rightarrow val-i$

od

true $\rightarrow vco.(val-1, \dots, val-n)$

Performs further error-analysis


This scheme is not applicable to Boolean constructors, since they are not transparent.

Data-expression denotations

An example of a derived constructor

$va\text{-}divide\text{-}in : ValueE \times ValueE \mapsto ValueE$
 $ded\text{-}divide\text{-}in : DatExpDen \times DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen$

$ded\text{-}divide\text{-}in.[ded\text{-}1, ded\text{-}2].sta =$
 $is\text{-}error.sta \rightarrow error.sta$
 $ded\text{-}i.sta = ? \rightarrow ? \quad \text{for } i = 1,2$
let
 $val\text{-}i = ded\text{-}i.sta \quad \text{for } i = 1,2$
true $\rightarrow va\text{-}divide\text{-}in.(val\text{-}1, val\text{-}2)$



Here all further
error analysis

Data-expression denotations

Boolean constructors (McCarthy's laziness)

$\text{ded-and} : \text{DatExpDen} \times \text{DatExpDen} \mapsto \text{DatExpDen}$

$\text{ded-and}.\text{(ded-1, ded-2).sta} =$

$\text{is-error.sta} \quad \rightarrow \text{error.sta}$

$\text{ded-1.sta} = ? \quad \rightarrow ?$

let

$\text{val-1} = \text{ded-1.sta}$

$\text{val-1} : \text{Error} \quad \rightarrow \text{val-1}$

let

$(\text{dat-1, bod-1, yok-1}) = \text{val-1}$

$\text{bod-1} \neq \text{'Boolean'} \quad \rightarrow \text{'Boolean-expected'}$

$\text{dat-1} = \text{ff} \quad \rightarrow \text{ff}$

$\text{ded-2.sta} = ? \quad \rightarrow ?$

let

$\text{val-2} = \text{ded-2.sta}$

$\text{val-2} : \text{Error} \quad \rightarrow \text{val-2}$

let

$(\text{dat-2, bod-2, yok-2}) = \text{val-2}$

$\text{bod-2} \neq \text{'Boolean'} \quad \rightarrow \text{'Boolean-expected'}$

true $\quad \rightarrow ((\text{'Boolean'}, \text{TT}))$

ded-or and ded-not are defined in an analogous way

Here we possibly avoid an infinite evaluation or an error from ded-2

Data-expression denotations

A conditional expression

when : DatExpDen x DatExpDen x DatExpDen \mapsto DatExpDen

when.(ded-1, ded-2, ded-3).sta =

is-error.sta \rightarrow error.sta

ded-1.sta = ? \rightarrow ?

let

val-1 = ded-1.sta

val-1 : Error \rightarrow val-1

let

(dat-1, bod-1, yok-1) = val-1

bod-1 \neq ('Boolean') \rightarrow 'Boolean-expected'

dat-1 = tt \rightarrow ded-2.sta

dat-1 = ff \rightarrow ded-3.sta

Lazy evaluation

The type of the result is not fixed!

Transparency would cause a problem for all $x \neq 0$.

Future syntax:

if x > 0 **then** x+2 **else** 'abcd' **fi**

if x > 0 **then** sqrt(x) **else** sqrt(-x) **fi**

An algebra of type-expression denotations

Future carriers of our algebra of denotations

ide : Identifier = ...

bed : BodExpDen = State \mapsto BodyE

tra : TraExpDen = Transfer

yok : YokExpDen = Yoke

ted : TypExpDen = State \mapsto TypeE



Constructors
already defined

Type expressions will be used in:

- variable declaration,
- type declarations,
- procedure declarations.

Here no functions on states
since transfers and yokes are
not storable;
(an engineering decision).

Body-expression denotations

Constructors

`bod-constant` : Identifier \mapsto BodExpDen

`bod-constant.sta` =

`is-error.sta` \rightarrow `error.sta`

let

`((tye, pre), sto) = sta`

`tye.ide = ?` \rightarrow 'body-constant-undefined'

not `tye.ide : Body` \rightarrow 'body-expected'

true \rightarrow `tye.ide`

Body constants retrieve bodies from type environments.

Body, once assigned to a body constant is never changed.

Constructors derived from type constructors

`Cbd.[bo-create-bo]` : \mapsto BodExpDen

`Cbd.[bo-create-nu]` : \mapsto BodExpDen

`Cbd.[bo-create-wo]` : \mapsto BodExpDen

`Cbd.[bo-create-ar]` : BodExpDen \mapsto BodExpDen

`Cbd.[bo-create-re]` : BodExpDen x Identifier \mapsto BodExpDen

`Cbd.[bo-put-to-re]` : BodExpDen x Identifier x BodExpDen \mapsto BodExpDen

Body-expression denotations

Constructors derived from body constructors

bco : $BodIde-1 \times \dots \times BodIde-n \mapsto BodyE$

$Cbd.[bco]$: $BodExpDenIde-1 \times \dots \times BodExpDenIde-n \mapsto BodExpDen$

Cbd – metaconstructor of body-expression denotations

$Cbd.[bco].(arg-1, \dots, arg-n).sta =$

$is-error.sta \rightarrow error.sta$


let

$bod-i = \text{for } i = 1;n$

$arg-i : Identifier \rightarrow arg-i$

true $\rightarrow arg-i.sta$

true $\rightarrow bco.(bod-1, \dots, bod-n)$



takes care
for errors

Body-expression denotations

Two examples of derived constructors

$\text{Cbd.}[\text{bo-create-nu}] : \mapsto \text{BodExpDen}$

$\text{Cbd.}[\text{bo-create-nu}].().\text{sta} =$

$\text{is-error.sta} \rightarrow \text{error.sta}$

true $\rightarrow \text{bo-create-nu}().()$

$\text{Cbd.}[\text{bo-put-to-re}] : \text{BodExpDen} \times \text{Identifier} \times \text{BodExpDen} \mapsto \text{BodExpDen}$

$\text{Cbd.}[\text{bo-put-to-re}].(\text{bed-e}, \text{ide}, \text{bed-r}).\text{sta} =$ e for “element”, r for “record”

$\text{is-error.sta} \rightarrow \text{error.sta}$

let

$\text{bod-i} = \text{bed-i.sta} \quad \text{for } i = e, r$

$\text{bod-i} : \text{Error} \rightarrow \text{bod-i} \quad \text{for } i = e, r$

$\text{sort.bod-r} \neq \text{'R'} \rightarrow \text{'record-expected'}$

true $\rightarrow \text{bo-put-to-re}(\text{bod-e}, \text{ide}, \text{bod-r})$

Type-expression denotations

Two constructors only

$\text{typ-constant} : \text{Identifier} \mapsto \text{TypExpDen}$

$\text{typ-constant.ide.sta} =$

$\text{is-error.sta} \rightarrow \text{error.sta}$

let

$((\text{tye}, \text{pre}), \text{sto}) = \text{sta}$

$\text{tye.ide} = ? \rightarrow \text{'type-constant-undefined'}$

$\text{tye.ide} : \text{Body} \rightarrow \text{'type-expected'}$

true $\rightarrow \text{tye.ide}$

$\text{create-ty} : \text{BodExpDen} \times \text{YokExpDen} \mapsto \text{TypExpDen}$

$\text{create-ty}(\text{bed}, \text{yok}).\text{sta} =$

$\text{is-error.sta} \rightarrow \text{sta}$

let

$\text{bod} = \text{bed.sta}$

$\text{bod} : \text{Error} \rightarrow \text{bod}$

true $\rightarrow (\text{bod}, \text{yok})$

The mechanisms of creating values, and assigning them to variables, will raise error messages in cases of empty types.

A type expression may be either an identifier or a type-creating expression.

Algebra of expression denotations

Carriers of AlgExpDen:

ide : Identifier = ...

ded : DatExpDen = State \rightarrow ValueE

bed : BodExpDen = State \rightarrow BodyE

tra : TraExpDen = Transfer


yok : YokExpDen = Yoke

ted : TypExpDen = State \mapsto TypeE

All constructors define earlier

Abstract syntax of Lingua-A

denotational and syntactic domains



A – stands for "abstract"

denotations

Identifier

DatExpDen

BodExpDen

TraExpDen

YokExpDen

TypExpDen

syntaxes

Identifier

DatExpA

BodExpA

TraExpA

YokExpA

TypExpA

description

identifiers

abstract data expressions

abstract body expressions

abstract transfer expressions

abstract yoke expressions

abstract type expressions

For every carrier of AlgExpA one grammatical equation.

For every constructor of AlgExpA one component of an equation

Abstract syntax of Lingua-A

examples of syntactic clauses

dae : DatExpA =

```
...
dat-variable. (Identifier)
...
and-ded. (DatExpA , DatExpA)
or-ded. (DatExpA , DatExpA)
Cdd[equal]. (DatExpA , DatExpA)
...
Cdd[glue]. (DatExpA , DatExpA)
...
Cdd[create-li]. (DatExpA)
Cdd[top]. (DatExpA)
Cdd[push]. (DatExpA , DatExpA)
Cdd[pop]. (DatExpA)
...
```

green – syntactic elements (words)
black – metavariables

Boolean expressions

word expressions

list expressions

tex : TypExpA =

```
type-constant (Identifier)
create-type (BodExpA, YokExpA) |
```

type expressions

Concrete syntax of Lingua-A

examples of syntactic clauses

dae : DatExp =

...

Identifier

|

variables

...

(DatExp **and** DatExp)

|

(DatExp **or** DatExp)

|

(DatExp = DatExp)

|

Boolean expressions

...

(DatExp © DatExp)

|

word expressions

list DatExp **ee**

|

push DatExp **on** DatExp **ee**

|

top(DatExp)

|

pop(DatExp)

|

list expressions

...

tex : TypExp =

Identifier

|

type BodExp **with** YokExp **ee**

type expressions

Colloquial syntax of Lingua-A

examples of rules

instead of	we write
$(x + (y + z))$	$x + y + z$: left association
$(x + (y * z))$	$x + y * z$: priority of * over +
<pre> put-to-arr put-to-arr array x ee new x+y ee new 3*y ee </pre>	<pre> array [x, x+y, 3*y] </pre>

Syntactic sugar: spaces, tabulators, carriage returns, boldface and underlining do not affect denotations of syntax.

Semantics of Lingua-A

$Cs : \text{ExpAlg} \mapsto \text{ExpDenAlg}$

A homomorphism with six components:

$Sid : \text{Identifier} \mapsto \text{Identifier}$ — identity mapping

$Sde : \text{DatExp} \mapsto \text{DatExpDen}$

$Sbe : \text{BodExp} \mapsto \text{BodExpDen}$

$Stre : \text{TraExp} \mapsto \text{TraExpDen}$

$Syoe : \text{YokExp} \mapsto \text{YokExpDen}$

$Ste : \text{TypExp} \mapsto \text{TypExpDen}$

Examples

$Sde : \text{DatExp} \mapsto \text{DatExpDen}$ i.e.

$Sde : \text{DatExp} \mapsto \text{State} \rightarrow \text{ValueE}$

$Sde.[\text{true}] = \text{Cdd}[\text{co-create-bo.tt}].()$ — algebraic form

$Sde.[\text{true}].\text{sta} =$ — direct form

$\text{is-error.sta} \rightarrow \text{error.sta}$

$\text{true} \rightarrow (\text{tt}, (\text{'Boolean'}, \text{TT}))$

Semantics of Lingua-A

Implementor's perspective

$Sde : \text{DatExp} \mapsto \text{DatExpDen}$ i.e.
 $Sde : \text{DatExp} \mapsto \text{State} \rightarrow \text{ValueE}$

Algebraic form

$Sde.[(dae-1 / dae-2)] =$
 $Cdd[va-divide-in].(Sde.[dae-1], Sde.[dae-2])$

metaconstructor
(slide 6)

value constructor
(slide 33 part 3)

Semantics of Lingua-A

Programmer's perspective

Sde : DatExp \mapsto DatExpDen i.e.
 Sde : DatExp \mapsto State \rightarrow ValueE

Direct form – user oriented (cf. part 3 slide 28)

```

Sde.[(dae-1 / dae-2)].sta =
  is-error.sta           $\rightarrow$  error.sta
  Sde.[dae-i].sta = ?   $\rightarrow$  ?                               for i = 1, 2
let
  val-i = Sde.[dae-i].sta                                     for i = 1, 2
  val-i : Error           $\rightarrow$  val-i                       for i = 1, 2
let
  (dat-i, bod-i, yok-i) = Sde.[dae-i].sta                     for i = 1, 2
  bod-i  $\neq$  ('integer')  $\rightarrow$  'integer-expected'          for i = 1, 2
let
  real = divide-re(dat-1, dat-2)
true           $\rightarrow$  (real, ('real'), TT)
  
```

primitive operation

A manual of a programming language based on denotational semantics


Three parts of a manual

1. concrete syntax described by equational grammar and illustrated by examples,
2. colloquial syntax illustrated by examples of restoring transformations (e.g. as in Sec. 4.4.3),
3. the semantics of concrete syntax, i.e. the association of concrete programs to their denotations without referring to abstract syntax.

Two forms of a manual

- A. definitions that refer to (“call”) denotation-algebra constructors defined earlier such definitions will be called algebraic,
- B. definitions that describe constructors explicitly, such definitions will be called direct.

Major milestones on a way to program execution





Thank you for
your attention